



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,548	0	1/17/2002	Jan Peter Janssen	1731 7095	
7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER		
Michale J. Str			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
Striker Striker 103 East Neck		у		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Huntington, NY 11743			2675	
			•	DATE MAIL ED. 07/10/200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/936,548		JANSSEN, JAN PETER		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675		

	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	· · · ·					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t bafana ay ay tha data af filian a Ni	-# <b>f</b> A						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13.  Other:		mati Lefkowitz	houst					
	SU SUPFRVIS	MATI LEFKOWITZ	, 					

ENVIOURY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment "wherein the operation control elements and information fields shown by the display are programmable, and wherein the processor allows a configuration in which the processor offers configuration menus" would require further search and consideration.